ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA95409

Filing date:

08/19/2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91171795	
Party	Defendant Yellow Dog Cafe, Inc. Yellow Dog Cafe, Inc. 905 U.S. Highway 1 Malabar, FL 32950	
Correspondence Address	JOHN L. DEANGELIS, JR. BEUSSE BROWNLEE WOLTER MORA & MAIRE PA 390 N ORANGE AVENUE, SUITE 2500 ORLANDO, FL 32801-1683	
Submission	Answer	
Filer's Name	John L. DeAngelis	
Filer's e-mail	jdeangelis@cfl.rr.com	
Signature	/John L. DeAngelis/	
Date	08/19/2006	
Attachments	Yellow Dog TTAB answer.pdf (3 pages)(1652467 bytes)	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Joseph J. Juras)	
Opposer,)	
V.)	Opposition No.: 91171795
Yellow Dog Cafe, Inc.)	
Applicant)	

United States Patent and Trademark Office Trademark Trial and Appeal Board P. O. Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

APPLICANT'S ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Applicant Yellow Dog Cafe, Inc. by its attorney, hereby answers the allegations set forth in the Notice of Opposition as follows:

- Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegation set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition and, therefore denies said allegations.
- 2. Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegation set forth in Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition and, therefore denies said allegations.

Opposition No.: 91150727

Docket No.: RUC-ITU2.OP1

3. Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information as to the truth of the

2

allegation set forth in Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition and, therefore denies said

allegations.

4. Applicant admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4 of the Notice of

Opposition, except the Applicant denies the reference in Paragraph 4 to the "Opposer's

prior rights to the mark Yellow Dog."

5. Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information as to the truth of the

allegation set forth in Paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition and, therefore denies said

allegations.

6. Applicant has insufficient knowledge or information as to the truth of the

allegation set forth in Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition and, therefore denies said

allegations.

Wherefore, Applicant requests that the Opposition be dismissed.

Date: <u>V\ゝ)つ し</u>

John LaDeAngelis

Attorney for Applicant

Beusse Wolter Sanks Mora & Maire, PA

390 North Orange Avenue

Suite 2500

Orlando, FL 32801

Tel: (407) 926-7710

Fax: (407) 9267720

Docket No.: RUC-ITU2.OP1

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that the foregoing ANSWER was served by first-class mail, postage prepaid, on counsel for the Opposer. Daniel T. Earle, Esq., Shlesinger, Arkwright & Garvey LLP, 1420 King Street, Suite 600, Alexandria, VA. 22317 on this 19th day of August 2006.

John L. DeAngelis
Attorney for Applicant